

**2009 Transportation Enhancement Grant
Applicant Evaluation Worksheet**

Evaluation Criteria	Applicant	Bradford	Concord - East Side Dr.	Concord -Broadway Sidewalks	Pembroke	New Hampshire
Provision of facilities for pedestrians and bicycles.		x	x	x	x	
Provision of safety and educational activities for pedestrians and bicyclists.		x	x	x	x	
Historic preservation.						x
Preservation of abandoned railway corridors (including the conversion and use of the corridors for pedestrian or bicycle trails).						
Establishment of transportation museums.						
Archaeological planning and research.						
Rehabilitation and operation of historic transportation buildings, structures, or facilities (including historic railroad facilities and canals).						x
Acquisition of scenic easements and scenic or historic sites (including historic battlefields).						
Scenic or historic highway programs (including the provision of tourist and welcome center facilities).						
Landscaping and other scenic beautification.		x	x	x		
Inventory, control, and removal of outdoor advertising.						
Environmental mitigation: (a) to address water pollution due to highway runoff; or (b) to reduce vehicle-caused wildlife mortality while maintaining habitat connectivity.						
Preliminary identification of natural and historic resources.		x				x
List of funding partners.						
Was this project previously funded?						Yes
	Points					
Identified project's purpose and need. Demonstrate that there is a compelling need for project, and that funding sought is proportionate to the proposal.	30	24.625	23.5	23.375	6.875	23.75
Provided an overview of existing conditions. Shows that part of required infrastructure is already in place.	10	7.875	8.125	8.125	1.625	9.25
Demonstrated consideration of alternative solutions to problems identified (including analysis of "Do-nothing" scenario, identification of other potential sources of funding).	10	8.5	7.75	7.625	1	8.875
Part of larger, multi-phase project.	10	8.375	8.375	8.375	2.625	9.375
Demonstrated economic benefits (or positive impact on other community goals) to be realized by the plan.	10	7.875	5.375	5.625	1.375	5.875
Provided a conceptual design. Design fulfills purpose and need.	10	8.375	8	8.125	5.25	7.75
Provided an estimated budget. Demonstrate that have sufficient funds for local match.	10	6.875	8.125	8.25	2.875	7.875
Documented public input and support (could include letters from biking and walking organizations and advocacy groups).	10	7.375	5.875	5.875	2.25	7.125
Project serves low-income population. *BONUS*	5	0.375	1.5	0.375	0.125	0
Conformance with municipal Master Plan.	10	8.5	8.75	8.25	2	4.375
Compliance with any natural or cultural resource plans.	10	5.125	7.625	4.5	1.125	8.625
Conformance with Regional Plan and Transportation Plan.	10	8.125	6.875	7.375	2	8.5
Conformance with local, regional or statewide corridor, modal and land use plans.	10	5.875	5	5.375	2	6.5
Coordination with ongoing enhancement programs (SRTS, etc.)	10	7.625	6	8.5	3.75	5.25
Pedestrian mode enhanced.	5	4.375	4.375	4.375	2.875	3.3125
Bicycle mode enhanced.	5	2.875	2.5	2	2.25	3.3125
Innovative automobile mode enhanced (e.g. through traffic reduction, preferred parking, etc.).	5	1.375	2.375	1.625	1.75	2
Transit mode enhanced. *BONUS*	5	0.625	0	0	0	0.625
Rail mode enhanced. *BONUS*	5	0	0	0	0	1.75
Marine mode enhanced. *BONUS*	5	0	0	0	0	0
Will this project be Municipally Managed? (Assign 5 points if yes)	5	3.125	3.75	3.75	2.5	0.625
TOTAL	170	127.88	123.88	138.86	44.25	124.75
CORRECT AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES		146.14	141.57	138.86	70.8	124.75
PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POINTS		86%	83%	82%	42%	73%